



# Watch What You Say

3 clockhour real estate course

by

Natalie Danielson

PROFESSIONAL *Direction* INC

[www.clockhours.com](http://www.clockhours.com) email: [clockhours@gmail.com](mailto:clockhours@gmail.com)

*A Washington State Approved Real Estate School under R.C.W. 18.85.*

***Please Read this First! Thanks!***



PROFESSIONAL *Direction* INC

# Clockhours by Email

1. You will be provided with a booklet of with the class material here in a pdf format. It is a THREE CLOCKHOUR CLASS FOR \$30.00 TUITION.
2. In Washington State a “clock hour” is 50 minutes. There are questions about each session. They can be answered while reading the material, at the end of the session, or at the end.
3. ***Answer*** the questions on the quiz sheet.
4. If you have any questions regarding the material or the questions, don’t hesitate to call or email Natalie Danielson.
5. ***Email*** Quiz and Evaluation to Professional Direction.
6. The certificate will be mailed or emailed within 1day of receipt of course materials and handout.

Disclaimer.. the course materials and questions are not to be used for legal advice. Information can change over time. Real estate transactions are handled different ways in different regions in the State of Washington. If you have any comments or concerns about the material contact Professional Direction.

Thanks!

*Natalie Danielson*

**PROFESSIONAL Direction**  
**Email: [clockhours@gmail.com](mailto:clockhours@gmail.com)**

## Watch what you SAY

### Curriculum

Session Hours	Major Topics	Method of Presentation
1 1 /2 hour	Misrepresentation and remedies License laws What is a material fact Types of misrepresentation Example of misrepresentation Negative Stigmas	Live Lecture and Discussion Correspondence Webinar Video
2 1 hour	Laws that affect disclosure License Law Agency Law Fair Housing Washington Sate Disclosure law	
3 1 ½ hour	Cases that are so Interesting	

# Watch What You Say

## Introduction

This course covers issues regarding misrepresentation in real estate transactions. In addition, there are times that an agent must disclose and times when disclosure could violate laws as in the case of fair housing.

It is necessary to "Watch What You Say" as a real estate agent when working with clients and customers. Natalie is not an attorney. Just learn to spot times where you should be careful.

## Course Objectives

At the end of this course, participants will be able to:

- Illustrate examples of fraud, negligent misrepresentation, negligence, and innocent misrepresentation
- List and describe the steps to limit misrepresentation liability
- Describe the advantages of seller disclosure
- Read cases on different types of disclosure issues

# Misrepresentation

Approximately 2/3rds of all claims brought against real estate brokers are filed by dissatisfied purchasers involving allegations of misrepresentation, negligence or fraud. With increasing frequency purchasers bring claims against agents and firms when they discover defects after closing. Because of this, agents need to be extremely careful about the extent and accuracy of the information provided to purchasers. Misrepresentation liability is imposed by state law and arises out of both statutory law as well as common case law arising from lawsuits. In other words, there are state laws including the Real Estate License Law RCW 18.85 and The Law of Agency RCW 18.86 that both include provisions for misrepresentation liability. In addition, there are precedent setting lawsuits that have identified liability for agents.

The condition of the property directly affects the value. The principles of value need to be weighed along with the condition and the amenities of the property. Withholding information because the agent doesn't think it would affect the buyers decision or because the agent does not want to put the transaction in jeopardy could have disastrous results in the future.

Ignorance will never save you in court! This is a very important message! Some agents just don't want to have any knowledge. You will be held up to the standards of other agents. Expert witnesses will be hired to testify what is the standard. One manager told an agent to put the information on a problem from an inspection in the file, but "do not read it." He thought the agent could then say that she didn't know about the problem.

Agents have been heard to say and really believe that if they are found in the wrong that their errors and omissions insurance or their corporate attorney will "get them off." That is not the case in most situations. Agents have an incredible amount of liability. To assume that a party that feels a loss will not come after an agent is like assuming that a shark won't go after a vegetarian.

Most of the time attorneys will go after the real estate agent and company for negligent misrepresentation. And in most cases the agent will be covered by errors and omissions insurance with a deductible. But, not all misrepresentation damages would be covered by errors and omissions insurance. For, example, actual fraud would not be insurable! If you intentionally misrepresent a problem that could be considered "actual fraud" you would not be covered.

## Possible remedies for damaged party

A buyer or seller might think that a real estate licensee has misrepresented something in a transaction. If they believe there have been damages they can hire an attorney and file a lawsuit. Typically, a seller would have to prove damages when in a lawsuit for misrepresentation. There are statutes of limitations on lawsuits.

In addition to filing a lawsuit, a consumer can file a complaint with the Association of REALTORS, the multiple listing association, and/or the Department of Licensing. If an agent is found to have misrepresented a material fact, the agent could be liable for:

- Actual Damages which include the costs to fix the problem
- Punitive Damages... this includes the word "punish"
- Rescission... The cost to take the consumer back to the starting line
- License suspension or revocation by the Department of Licensing
- Fines from the Department of Licensing.
- Disciplinary action by the Association of REALTORS
- Attorney fees, courts costs, lost of business.
- And maybe worst of all.. the loss of time, stress and self esteem.

The assumption has been that if the seller is negligent in disclosure, that the buyer could sue the seller for damages. In light of the 2006 Washington State Supreme Court decision, this assumption changes and the Purchase and Sale agreement has the buyer and seller agree whether or not they have recourse.

## What is a Material Fact?

A material fact that must be disclosed according to common law includes information that would affect;

- How much a buyer would pay for the property, or
- The buyer's decision to buy the property.

The definition from the Law of Agency says that a material fact is

- Information that substantially adversely affects the value of a property,
- Information that affects the party's ability to perform,
- Information that operates to materially impair or defeat the purpose of the transaction.

So then, what needs to be disclosed? **Anything** that would affect a buyer's decision to buy or how much the buyer would pay...needs to be disclosed... If not, the buyer may find a way to make the agent liable and file a complaint or sue.

## Types of Misrepresentation

### Fraud

A false representation of a known fact.

Saying nothing about a known defect.

When you know that there is a problem and intentionally mislead a client.

### Negligent Misrepresentation

Lack of care in accurately conveying information to the purchaser.

Failure to confirm, when necessary, the accuracy of information given to the purchaser.

### Negligence

Failure to use reasonable care in discovering and disclosing defects

### Innocent misrepresentation

When the agent is unaware or could not have known about a defect. Agents are not liable for defects that they had no knowledge of. But, an attorney will try to prove that the agent had knowledge or "should have known."

# Examples of Misrepresentation

Consider the following examples

- The seller says the fence is the property line. It is what he was told when he bought the land. The plat map does not appear to match the fence line.
- The seller tells the agent the property is hooked to the sewer. But, the property is out in the country.
- There is no pest problem evident at the house. But, the agent has a pest inspection report full of problems from the last sale that failed.
- The kitchen sink drain is not hooked up to the septic tank and just drains into the yard.
- The house is free from mold and water intrusion when in fact it has major water problems in the winter.
- The agent told the buyer that it is a "safe" neighborhood when in fact there is a registered sex offender living next door.
- The agent told the buyers that the shed and the woodpile are included in the sale when in fact; the shed was off the foundation.
- The seller told the buyer that the agent said the tree in the backyard is on the property line and the buyer can cut it down, when in fact it belongs to the neighbors and there are city codes and permits required to take down a tree.
- The buyer said that the agent confirmed that the view will stay unobstructed, when in fact that "greenbelt" is actually going to be a 6 story condominium.
- The agent did not deposit the earnest money and did not tell the parties.
- The agent told the sellers that they would have no deficiency judgment if they sell on a short sale.
- The agent told the homeowners that the best option is to go into foreclosure.

There is no "correct" or "right" answer in most situations. It is best to be careful on answering questions or giving information about the property or the transaction that the agent has no direct knowledge or experience. Agents need to answer honestly and accurately and in good faith when asked questions about the property or transaction. Assumptions get brokers and consumers in hot water.



# Negative Stigmas

One of the hottest issues in the real estate industry is a negative stigma that may affect property.

A negative stigma can include:

- A property psychologically impacted
- An event that occurred or suspected to have occurred on the property
- A stigma that does not affect the physical condition or title to the property.

Examples of negative stigmas.

- A neighbors kid commits suicide in the backyard.
- A ghost has appeared to the brother in the dining room.
- The house has been burglarized every month on the 15<sup>th</sup> of the month.
- A group of sun worshippers arrives in the yard each solstice.
- There is a sex offender next door.
- There was a gang shooting in the neighborhood.

A meth lab, for example, is not a negative stigma because it affects the physical condition of the property. It must be disclosed.

# WA State Laws affecting Disclosure

## License Law RCW 18.85

Washington State License Law states that disciplinary actions can be taken against a licensee if they violate the following:

"making , printing, publishing, distributing or causing, authorizing, or knowingly permitting the making, printing, publication or distribution of false statements, descriptions or promises of such character as to reasonable induce any person to act thereon, if the statements, descriptions or promises purport to be made or to be performed by either the licensee or his or her principal and the licensee then knew of, or by the exercise of reasonable care and inquiry could have known, of the falsity of the statement, descriptions or promises."

"Knowingly committing, or being a party to any material fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conspiracy, collusion, trick scheme or device whereby any other person lawfully relies upon the word, representation or conduct of the licensee."

"Any conduct in a real estate transaction which demonstrates bad faith, dishonesty, untrustworthiness or in competency."

If a consumer wants to file a complaint against an agent the form is available from the Department of Licensing website. They send the form along with documentation and a description of the problem. The Department of licensing then reviews the information to see if there is a possible violation of license or agency law. About half of the complaints are not violations of the law under their jurisdiction. The Department of Licensing will notify the agent and ask for more information.

The latest disciplinary actions are available on the website at [www.dol.wa.gov](http://www.dol.wa.gov).

## **Washington State Law of Agency RCW 18.86**

According to the Law of Agency real estate agents must:

"Disclose all existing material facts known by the licensee and not apparent or readily ascertainable to a party: provided that this subsection shall not be construed to imply any duty to investigate matters that the licensee has not agreed to investigate."

In one case, an agent had her real estate license suspended due to misrepresentation. The agent failed to disclose the flooding that had occurred in the past on the property. The seller did not disclose it to the purchaser also, but the agent had previous knowledge of the problem and had disclosed it to a buyer of a neighboring property.

In another case, a real estate agent provided the buyer with a false pest inspection report.

As in violations of License law, complaints against an agent regarding the Law of Agency are to be submitted in writing. They are then checked to see if there is an actual violation of the law.

The Department of Licensing only has jurisdiction over a few sections of the Law of Agency.

Note.. there will be changes to the Agency Law effective in Jan 2024.

## Disclosure in Agency Law

"The fact or the suspicion that the property or any neighboring property is or was the site of a murder, suicide or other death, rape or other sex crime, assault or other violent crime, robbery or burglary, illegal drug activity, or other act, occurrence or use not adversely affect the physical condition of, or the title to the property is not a material fact."

This is the AGENCY law. This has not been tested in the courts! If an agent is aware of a negative stigma, that agent should disclose the information as it would affect the buyer's decision to buy or how much to pay. If the seller does not want the information disclosed... contact the broker. Make the decision whether or not to take the listing.

The Code of Ethics for the Association of REALTORS was originally based on the "golden rule." Would YOU, your family or friends want the information disclosed before you made the decision to buy?

Buyers have sued agents for things like a barking dog, a ghost, a sex offender. Disclose Disclose Disclose.

Questions regarding negative stigmas

*Should agents tell sellers that they don't have to disclose negative stigmas?*

No. Because the sellers must disclose anything that would affect a buyer's decision. If the sellers choose not to disclose, the agent must refer the issue directly to the designated broker or corporate attorney.

*Is the seller obligated to disclose this kind of information even though it is not on the property information disclosure form?*

If a seller chooses not to disclose, then the agent needs to refer to the designated broker. The Law of Agency does NOT say that a buyer cannot sue the seller for lack of disclosure. Buyers have sued for everything from the barking dog, the sex offender and ghosts. There is really no such thing as a "secret." It always leaks out.

*Is there a difference if the agent represents the buyer or the seller?*

If the agent represents the buyer, encourage the buyer to do a neighborhood review and get to know information that would affect their decision to buy.

*Is it "fair" for sellers to be impacted by negative stigmas?*

No. It is not always fair. But, agents are not there to make it fair by not disclosing.

## Washington State Property Information Disclosure Law/Form

Since 1994, Washington State has The Property Information Disclosure Law RCW 64.04 that requires a seller of residential real estate to provide a buyer with a disclosure statement as designed by law prior to the closing of the transaction whether or not the sale occurred with a real estate broker or without a broker. It is to be used in transfers of residential real property including multifamily up to 4 unity, new construction, condominiums not subject to a public offering statement and certain timeshares.

There are exclusions including banks, foreclosures, estate, etc.

The seller is to provide the statement even if selling without an agent. If the seller does not provide the statement, the buyer can walk anytime before closing.

The seller must disclose defects even if they are not listed on the form.

The seller must disclose defects even if the seller does not provide the form or claims to sell it "as is" according to common law.

The law applies to all sellers, even those not listed with an agent.

The Law is the form. If you read the actual law, it is a form with the questions.

The agent is not to fill out the form. It is a separate law between sellers and buyers. If the agent fills out the form or helps in the preparation, then the agent could be found liable for errors.

The Disclosure Law has been amended regarding the required disclosure of environmental issues in the environmental section of the Property Information Disclosure law. If the buyer waives the form, the environmental section must still be provided.

In addition, a vacant land disclosure is required for property that is ZONED for residential use.

The attorneys refer to the form as the "Lawsuit."

## Property Disclosure Case that sets a precedent

In March 2007, the Washington State Supreme Court decided in the *Alejandro v. Bull* case that buyers will no longer have a claim for negligent misrepresentation in property condition disputes after closing. The "economic loss rule" was applied in the context of a real estate transaction. It provides that when two parties enter into a contract (Purchase and Sale Agreement) and economic losses occur (not physical harm or personal injury), recovery is confined to the contract. In the Purchase and Sale Agreement, contract remedies do not exist and there are no specific warranties. So, even if the buyer could prove the seller had negligently misrepresented the condition of the property they couldn't be compensated.

In the *Douglas v Visser* case it is the buyers duty to prove diligence and not the sellers to prove a lack of diligence. In this case the seller was Visser and knew that the property had serious issues that were covered up and not disclosed. The buyers home inspector also did not find them.

Since proving fraud can be difficult buyers that find a problem not disclosed by the seller will find an attorney to find a remedy. The target could be real estate agents and their Errors and Omissions policies.

Real estate agents have duties under Real Estate Law of Agency RCW 18.86 that cannot be WAIVED. They include to:

- "Exercise Reasonable Care and Skill"

- "Deal honestly and in good faith."

- "Disclose all existing material facts known by the licensee and not apparent or readily ascertainable to a party: provided that this subsection shall not be construed to imply any duty to investigate matters that the licensee has not agreed to investigate."

In addition, a real estate agent is to "advise the client to seek expert advice on matters relating to the transaction that are beyond the agent's expertise."

It is most important for real estate agents to disclose any defect they have knowledge of and to suggest expert advice including inspections.

And know, sellers can sue for anything. Don't give them a reason. They don't have to be right... but they can sue.

# Fair Housing

There are times when "disclosure" is against the laws. The Fair housing and anti discrimination laws do not protect "minorities." They protect people that are discriminated because of certain reasons or "protected classes." There are Federal, State and Local Fair Housing and Anti Discrimination Laws.

The Federal Fair Housing Act is a federal law. According to the law you are not to discriminate based on a protected class. The protected classes are:

- Race
- Color
- Religion
- Sex now includes LGBTQ!
- National Origin
- Familial Status
- Handicap/ disability

In Washington State there is the Law against Discrimination. The protected classes also include Sexual Orientation. In Washington State it is now legal for same sex marriages. Washington state also added traits associated with race.

## Local Discrimination laws

Cities and counties across the country are developing their own guidelines and laws. It is important to remember that the most laws in the county or city must be adhered to because often they include more stringent rules and a larger list of protected classes. Note the following list from the Puget Sound Area.

## Seattle Anti Discrimination Laws

Illegal discrimination is when:

- You are treated differently from others in a similar situation; **and**
- You are harmed by the treatment; **and**
- You are treated this way because of your membership in a protected class (i.e., race, gender, etc.) **or**

- Your request for a reasonable accommodation due to a disability is refused without a valid business reason.

**Seattle currently includes these protected classes:**

Age **	Parental status *
Ancestry	Political ideology
Color	Race
Creed	Religion
Disability	Sex
Gender identity	Sexual orientation
Marital status	Use of a Section 8 certificate *
National Origin	Use of a service animal
	Veteran or Military status

\*Not applicable to Employment or Fair Contracting cases

\*\*Not applicable to Public Accommodations cases

### **Retaliation**

It is against the law for someone to penalize or discriminate against you because:

- You file a discrimination complaint
- You cooperate with a discrimination complaint
- You cooperate with the enforcement of a discrimination complaint
- You comply with anti-discrimination laws

You can file a separate charge of discrimination if someone has retaliated against you. SOCR will conduct a separate investigation concerning retaliation.

### **Harassment**

Seattle's anti-discrimination laws also protect you from harassment. Harassment is conduct that is directed at you because of your race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, disability, national origin, etc.

Harassment can include: Threats, Slurs or epithets, Threatening acts, Posting offensive materials on walls, bulletin boards, e-mail, etc. To be considered harassment, conduct must be serious and frequent enough to create a hostile environment and interfere with your ability to work, live, or enjoy a public place.



In other Jurisdictions across the country the protected classes can include military Discharge, Source of Income and matriculation, and Personal Appearance.

If a client asks a real estate agent something regarding the background of another, if it falls under a protected class the real estate agent is bound by federal, state and local laws to not discriminate. That may mean that it is illegal to disclose information that could be discriminatory.

These kinds of questions have sometimes been asked by the sellers. Regardless of who the agent represents, it is ILLEGAL to answer these questions or respond to these statements.

- Do the buyers have children?
- What is the race of your clients?
- Will the family go to the church next door?
- Is the buyer gay?
- Is your son have a mental disability?
- This is a family neighborhood. I don't think they would fit in.
- Is the buyer single?
- Are the buyers married? (marital status is protected. Escrow needs to know how they take title.)
- Are the buyers seniors?
- A married couple is more stable than a single woman. (major lawsuit on this statement)
- This is not a good neighborhood for children
- This would not be a good neighborhood for disabled children.

If the buyer chooses to live within a mile of a certain church or cultural center, that is the buyers choice. You can accommodate their choice but you cannot steer them to a certain neighborhood. You cannot tell them where others from their same religion or country live. They can choose the neighborhood based on their own research.

Often, people in condominiums and apartments want to discourage or eliminate children from the complex. I had a friend call the other day that said that her condominium board was working on changing the rules to eliminate children because her grandchildren were living in her unit. That cannot happen.

I had another friend who's offer wasn't accepted on a property because her daughter was disabled.

Not all cases go to the courts. People face discrimination every day. But, in the real estate industry, we have an obligation to uphold the laws to protect the rights for housing for all people.

### **Love Letters from Buyer to Seller**

Whether a poem, photo collage or a love letter, buyers are trying to “promote” their offers to the sellers. Especially as the real estate market heats up and buyers may be competing with other offers that may even be higher, writing a personal letter to appeal to the sellers emotionally to accept the buyers offer is becoming more common.

***A love letter is an attempt to entice the seller into accepting an offer based on factors that have nothing to do with the purchase and sale agreement.***

Though it may appear innocent enough, the love letters can encourage a seller to discriminate when choosing a buyer for their home. The seller and the real estate agents must not violate Federal, State and local anti discrimination laws. Home owners selling their home cannot choose one buyer over another based on a protected class. Protected classes are NOT “minorities.” EVERY person falls under protected classes.

The love letters that are on websites from national news to Realtors most often describe the buyers as a “married couple with children.” Familial status is a protected class in the Federal Fair Housing Act. Familial Status and Marital Status are protected in almost every State and Local anti discrimination law. If a single woman, a gay couple with no kids, a man who will not have children, or a senior are bypassed because the seller goes with emotion and chooses the little family, the other buyers have just as much right to purchase the property. Take it one step further. Many times the letters include photos of the little family and their pooch. The sellers could be encouraged to discriminate based on race, color, national origin or religion based on the photo.

Are the letters discriminatory? Consider how often a real estate agent would encourage buyers that originate from another country, are disabled, have misunderstood religious beliefs, or is LBGT? Everyone, regardless of their background, beliefs, health/disability, etc has the right to purchase a home in the area chosen. When a seller chooses one buyer over another using any information that could be construed as discrimination, the buyers that lost the property have the right to file a case of discrimination. Real estate agents are bound by federal state and local discrimination laws and cannot discriminate.

The seller of the property is best to "*Choose the Paper... not the People*" when signing a purchase and sale agreement. Choose the offer on the property based on the terms of the contract and not the family, color, race, age, orientation, etc of the buyers. Do not open up the door to a discrimination complaint.

### **Single Woman Sues Real Estate Agent for Discrimination**

In May of 2004 a young woman said she encountered discrimination when she tried to buy a house in Tacoma ... not because of her skin color, age, religion or ethnicity. She was discriminated because she is single. She made an offer on a lovely two story house in Tacoma. "It was my dream house. A house that I wanted to purchase to raise a family," she said.

The asking price was \$196,000. She offered \$199,000 and was pre approved for the mortgage.

The Agent said, "Your guys deal was a better one but they decided to go with the other deal just because it was a married couple and they felt they would be a little more stable.... They were a bit nervous about it being a single woman trying to buy the house and they were just concerned it would come down to financing and something could possible go wrong."

It was discriminatory. The Federal Fair Housing act clearly states that it is unlawful to discriminate based on Sex and familial status. The case was settled with the real estate company prior any court hearing.

The sellers of a house are liable under Federal, State and Local Fair Housing and anti discrimination laws. The buyers have the right to purchase property regardless what their background.

Every person is a protected class.. you are all race, color, religion, sex, national origin, familial status.. and maybe handicap.... And it other jurisdictions... protected classes can include sexual orientation, section 8, etc.

# Case Studies and Lawsuits on Misrepresentation

## **Brokers obligation to convey offer to client is limited Seller sues for most everything the listing broker did**

The homeowners choose a top real estate broker to list their home. It is a bit tired. They left it partially furnished. The broker gave the sellers a range of value but they insisted on listing higher. They signed the listing in early December. The listing agent had numerous open houses held by several brokers and did a great job of marketing. Even so, they didn't receive an offer. In the spring they were going to pull the listing but instead the broker convinced them to drop the price, fix up the place, give the buyer a credit for repairs and take new photos. It still didn't sell and they relisted. About 10 months later the property sold less than the range of value originally proposed by the first broker. The sellers (one being an attorney) sued the broker listing 10 problems.

The Beauregard's hired a real estate broker, to list and sell their property in Bellevue, Washington.. During the initial meeting with the Beauregard's at their Bellevue home, they mentioned they were also considering another real estate broker. Ultimately the Beauregard's chose the agent because they estimated the property could be listed at \$ 2,488,000, higher than the other agent's estimate. The agent recalls merely sharing them that other clients ... were buying a similar sized home one block over that was listed at \$ 2,488,000 and offered that amount as an example or the possible range of value, only after the Beauregard's insisted on her opinion. The Beauregard's maintain that the agent inflated the price to induce them to enter the Exclusive Sale and Listing Agreement (the Listing Agreement).

Ms. Beauregard told the agent that, if they did not get offers within their desired price range, they were also interested in renting their property. The agent concedes this alternative was discussed, but the Listing Agreement contracts The agent to "sell" the property, and specifically indicates that the "firm need not submit to Seller any offers to lease, rent, execute an option to purchase, or enter into any agreement other than for immediate sale of the Property."

The parties signed the Listing Agreement on November 11, 2015. The Listing Agreement did not include a list price, but listed the property as viewable by "Appointment," "Call Listing Office," and through the "Multiple Listing Service (MLS) Keybox." The agent also listed the property as owner-occupied despite it being vacant because for "premier properties," the agent prefers to go to the property before a showing, turn on the lights and heat, discuss key features of the home with the buyer's broker, and ensure the doors are locked after the showing. Additionally, the agent maintains that the property was not truly vacant because some of the Beauregard's' furniture was present, and a vacant property is more susceptible to theft. The Beauregard's maintain that the agent never fully explained to them that the property was listed as owner-occupied or as

viewable by appointment, and had they known, the Beauregard's would have never agreed to those terms. Those terms, however, were clearly listed in the Listing Agreement signed by the Beauregard's.

On December 4, 2015, The agent e-mailed the Beauregard's, recommending a list price between \$ 1,950,000 and \$ 2,150,000. Ms. Beauregard replied that she thought they had discussed a higher starting price range. The agent arrived at the suggested list price after conducting market research, which included two comparable properties in the same neighborhood. The first was listed for \$ 2,488,000, but sold for \$ 2,285,000. The second was listed for \$ 2,249,000, but sold for \$ 2,175,000. The property is a stacked three level floorplan, lacking an open floorplan, and with recent market preference trending towards open floorplans, The agent suggested a lower list price to compensate for the market trends. The Beauregard's disagreed with The agent's recommendation and the property was listed for \$ 2,288,000 with a \$ 5,000 "paint/deck stain credit" and went active on December 9, 2015.

The parties characterize the discussions about listing the property over the holiday period differently. The Beauregards maintain they contacted The agent about delisting the property over the holiday season, but The agent never responded because she was vacationing in France. The agent maintains that there was less inventory on the market, and listing over the holiday period would capitalize on buyers trying to relocate before the New Year. (It is impossible to believe that the agent didn't have another agent following up on their business and the sellers could have contacted the designated broker?!)

During the months following the initial listing, The agent's office hosted at least 18 open houses at the property. No prospective buyers submitted offers during that period. The Beauregard's contend that the lack of offers was because The agent failed to follow-up with prospective buyers and used old photographs in the listing. At several points during her representation, The agent recommended that the Beauregard's drop the list price because other nearby properties had recently lowered their prices and attracted buyers. On February 3, 2016, the Beauregard's agreed to reduce the price to \$ 2,173,000, stating "[w]e had always felt the \$2.28 was ambitious, but wanted to try it." The agent recommended a further price reduction on March 20, 2016, to \$ 1,998,000, but the Beauregard's disagreed. On March 6, 2016, the Beauregard's notified the agent they wanted to switch real estate agents because they felt the agent was not following up with prospective buyers and had too many other listings in the Bellevue area. The agent convinced the Beauregard's to give her a second chance. The agent and the Beauregard's made several changes to the property and updated the listing photos, which showcased the re-sodded backyard, the exterior paint job, and updated interior photos.

Ultimately, the Beauregard's terminated their listing agreement with the agent in April 2016, and entered a new agreement with another broker. The property sold on August 17, 2016 for \$ 1,850,000.

The Beauregard's filed their complaint alleging the agent breached statutory duties, was negligent, and violated the CPA. The Beauregard's advanced a theory that the agent's cumulative breaches caused their property to remain on the market for too long, leading to low offers from prospective buyers. The Beauregard's claimed that the agent fraudulently induced them to enter the Listing Agreement by inflating the value of their property to \$ 2,488,000.

During discovery, the Beauregard's recovered an e-mail from another broker with the same company, sent to the agent on April 6, 2016 asking whether the Beauregard's would be interested in renting as opposed to selling because he had a client looking to move in mid-June from San Francisco, and rent a house. The agent replied that the Beauregard's were not interested in renting the property. Ultimately, the prospective renter never rented a property in Bellevue, and stayed in a hotel only for a couple of months before moving back to San Francisco. The Beauregard's contend that The agent was required by [RCW 18.86.030\(1\)\(c\)](#) to inform them of this "offer" to rent their property, failed to do so, and if this "offer" was conveyed, they would have accepted.

The email read, "Shot in the dark, but wondering if your client at XXX Bellevue 98004 might be interested in renting their house as opposed to selling, I have a friend moving from SF in mid June looking for a rental to get acquainted with the area. He and his family are looking in West Bellevue area like Clyde Hill, Medina, Hunts point, Yarrow point and surrounding. \$ 3500/mo is his target monthly2000+ sq/ftHouse2+ bedrooms. He is willing to sweeten the offer by paying cash in full for a year if needed and looking for 1-2 years."

The Beauregard's argued in the lawsuit that the agent breached their duty by:

- (1) Inducing them to enter the listing agreement dated November 11, 2015 by way of negligent pricing advice by proposing a value of \$ 2,400,000.
- (2) advising them to list their home at an unmarketable value of \$ 2,288,000 and bad pricing thereafter.
- (3) Failing to clearly discuss the listing terms including orally clarifying that other agents would be informed of the suggestion for appointment.
- (4) Informing other agents that she should be contacted prior to any viewings.
- (5) Recommending that the Beauregard's list their home over the dormant holiday season.
- (6) Failing to respond to the Beauregard's inquiry of December 21, 2015 about de-listing the home over the holidays.
- (7) Utilizing old photos of the 2013 listing that were not representative of the home at the time of current marketing.
- (8) Failing to follow up with interest [sic] buyers in the spring of 2016.
- (9) Failing to inform the Beauregard's of the April 6, 2016 offer to rent their home for a 2-years [sic] lump sum cash payment.
- (10) Elevating her own financial incentives over and above the Beauregard's express and/or implied goals.

The statutory duty to convey all "written offers, written notices and other written communications" is triggered once a broker is rendering "real estate brokerage services." See [RCW 18.86.030\(1\)\(c\)](#). As discussed above, the amendment to chapter 18.86 makes clear that "[t]he duties under this chapter are statutory duties and not fiduciary duties. This chapter supersedes the fiduciary duties of an agent to a principal under the common law." [RCW 18.86.110](#).

Brokers owe these duties "to all parties whom the broker renders real estate brokerage services." [RCW 18.86.030](#). "Real estate brokerage services" is defined as "the rendering of services for which a real estate license is required under chapter 18.85 RCW." Chapter 18.85, which governs real estate licensure, defines "real estate brokerage services" to mean "any of the following services offered or rendered directly or indirectly to another, or on behalf of another for compensation or the promise or expectation of compensation." [RCW 18.85.011\(17\)](#). Thus, while the statute

explains that the duties are not waivable, they are owed when only a broker is acting for "compensation or the promise or expectation of compensation."

In the e-mail the agent received from Williams, the e-mail specifically asked if the Beauregard's would be interested in renting their house, as opposed to selling. The e-mail communication does not fall within the "real estate brokerage services" that the Beauregards contracted with the agent because Williams clearly indicated that the interested party only wanted to rent the property. Since The agent's scope of agency was limited to selling the property, The agent was not expecting compensation from renting the property. Therefore, The agent did not have a duty to communicate the rental inquiry.

***Though this case may or may not be precedent setting, it is local. It addresses a key line in the agency law that is often discussed. And, from my point of view, it answers the question, "Can the seller sue me for this?" Brokers are always saying that they can't get sued for this or that. Also, remember that the seller and the broker often have different stories about the same situation. I can't imagine being in this broker's shoes. It has to be tough!***

***This is an important case for many reasons. First of all, the sellers sued their listing broker because the property didn't sell when it was clearly overpriced. They claimed they were damaged by decisions that the listing agent made which are typical of most listings. But, the final decision regarding the presentation of all written communication as specified in the Law of Agency was tested and better defined. The sellers lost their case against the listing broker after probably a couple years of litigation. Consider the list price, the photos and the updates when she relisted the house, that the broker discussed possible rental and the listing specifically indicated this. When the broker took the listing, do you think there were signs of trouble?***

## Was the Septic System Defective?

Mary Bull sold a house to Arturo and Norma Alejandre. The buyers subsequently learned that septic system was defective and sued the seller for fraudulently or negligently misrepresenting its condition. The trial court dismissed the Alejandres' claims determining as a matter of law that the Alejandre's had failed to prove their claims and that the claims are barred by the economic loss rule. The Court of Appeals reversed. The Washington State Supreme court reversed the Court of Appeals stating that under Washington law, the defective septic system at the heart of the claim is an economic loss within the scope of the parties' contract. The Alejandre's cannot meet their burden to show the defect in the septic system could not have been discovered through a reasonable inspection and failed to present sufficient evidence.

In this case, Mary Bull owned a house that was served by a septic system. A year prior to selling she noticed soggy ground over the septic system. She had the tank emptied and patched a broken pipe. In April 2000 she applied for a connection to the city sewer but abandoned the idea when

she found out there was a \$5000 hook up fee. She put her house on the market in June 2000 and sold it to the Alejandre's. The agreement showed that the property was served by a septic system and that she would have it pumped prior to closing. The Property information disclosure statement said the septic was last pumped and inspected in fall 2000 and a broken line was replaced. She answered "no" to the inquiry whether there were any defects. There was a contingency in the purchase and sale agreement on a septic inspection. If the seller did not receive notice of disapproval, the inspection contingency would be deemed satisfied. The bank required an inspection of the property and it stated that the septic system "Performs intended function" and "everything drains OK."

The Alejandre's closed and moved into the house and a month later smelled an odor inside and outside and heard water gurgling. They hired the septic tank service that had previously been hired by Mary Bull. They said they could pump the tank but could not fix the problem because the drain fields were not working and he had told Mary Bull that she needed to connect to the City sewer. The Alejandre's hired another company to connect to the sewer system that discovered that the baffle to the outlet side of the septic system was gone, thus allowing sludge from the septic tank to enter the drain field and plug it. In the end, the cost to repair the problem was close to a third of the purchase price of the house.

The Alejandres sued Mary Bull for fraud and misrepresentation. The court found that the Alejandre's failed to present sufficient evidence and entered a judgment in favor of Mary Bull. The court of Appeals reversed. But the Supreme Court found that the contract governed the parties' transaction, the parties had the opportunity to allocate the risk of loss in the contract and the tort claim was barred. The buyers claimed damages from the failed septic system were purely economic losses and the buyer was therefore limited to contract remedies.

It was the Alejandres' duty, under the purchase and sale agreement to exercise due diligence and to satisfy themselves that the septic system was acceptable. If upon a reasonably diligent inspection, they discovered the septic system was not in good working order, their remedy under the purchase and sale agreement was to rescind the contract or seek other contract remedies. To recover, they must prove that the contract they signed was breached and that they did not show sufficient evidence for the economic loss rule which did not occur.

***If a buyer discovers a defect and claims the cost to repair that defect, an economic loss, the terms of the transaction are documented in the sale agreement. The buyer is limited to any available contract remedies. The Property Information Disclosure form is "Not considered part of any written agreement between the buyer and the seller of residential property." RCW 64.06.020(3) The disclosure form is for disclosure only.***

The disclosure law also restricts the seller's liability. "The seller shall not be liable for any error, inaccuracy, or omission in the real property transfer disclosure statement if the seller had no actual knowledge of the error, inaccuracy, or omission. Unless the seller has actual knowledge of an error, inaccuracy, or omission in a real property transfer disclosure statement, the seller shall not be liable for such error, inaccuracy, or omission if the disclosure was based on information provided by public agencies, or by other persons providing information within the scope of their professional license or expertise, including, but not limited to, a report or opinion delivered by a land surveyor, title company, title insurance company, structural inspector, pest inspector, licensed engineer, or contractor." RCW 64.06.050(1)

***So, the Property Information Disclosure form, even if it contains errors, cannot support any claim for damages against the seller.***



# Was the seller liable for non disclosure? Is this “buyer beware?”

On February 25, 2013, the Court of Appeals decided Douglas v. Visser. In that case, the Terry Visser, a *real estate broker*, and his wife purchased a fixer house in Blaine with the intent to fix and rent it. Much of the structure of the house was rotten to the point that the workers could not get nails to hold. The seller told them to make it look good and cover it up so that it could be sold.

The seller checked “no” and “don’t know” on many items on the Property Information Disclosure report. The Buyer asked for more information and a copy of the sellers pre-purchase inspection. The Seller hand wrote some answers and didn’t provide the previous inspection. The Buyers hired a home inspector who noted three small areas of rot. But the inspection report said that they were not structural and that the buyer should deal with them if the rot spread. After closing, the buyers discovered that the house was uninhabitable and essentially had to be rebuilt from scratch. They sued the seller. The buyer prevailed at trial. The trial judge found that the seller had committed fraud and awarded the buyer the cost to rebuild the house. The seller appealed.

The Court of Appeals reversed the trial judge's decision and sent the case back for the trial judge to dismiss the claim and award the seller attorney fees. Once the buyers were aware of some rot at the house, they were required to investigate further. It did not matter that the discovered rot was minor and in a different location. According to the decision, the buyers did not have a duty to make an exhaustive invasive inspection or endlessly ask further questions. They merely had to make further inquiries after discovering the rot or at trial show that further inquiries would have been fruitless. The buyers could not get relief by asserting that the defect was worse than anticipated.

Buyers in residential transactions receive Property Information Disclosure statements from the seller according to state law. Most buyers assume that these are accurate and rely on them. Most buyers assume that they will have a remedy if the seller's disclosures are fraudulent. But the law retains that the buyer duty is to beware, inspect and to question.

But before a buyer has any remedy, he or she will have to prove diligence in light of the information that was provided. It is the buyer's burden to prove diligence, not the seller's burden to prove a lack of diligence.

*A small note from Natalie.... Tthe seller was a real estate agent who purchased the house as a flip. The property was most likely not listed and being sold directly by the broker. The buyer purchased the house directly from the seller. They were all probably trying to “save” commission. The seller also offered seller financing. When the defects were found, the buyers probably did not pay on the contract. So, it is probably more complicated than it appears. But, it sets a precedent for all of our real estate transactions. If the property was listed with a broker in the MLS and the buyer was represented by their own agent, do you think the outcome of this trial would have been different?*

***A buyer should assume that there is no real remedy for any misrepresentations by the seller. The buyer has a duty to follow up on every defect that is found and every uncertainty about the property. If the buyer could have discovered the truth with diligence, the buyer will have no claim.***

## **Septic or Sewer? The seller erred and the buyer sued.**

As a result of the property settlement in a divorce, Susan received a house that was a rental managed by her ex husband. She moved into the house for a year prior to selling. Susan called her favorite real estate agent who listed the property for sale. Susan did not know much about the property. She struggled to fill out the property information disclosure form and checked that the property was on sewer. She did not really know the difference between septic and sewer. Her agent is familiar with the area. After closing the buyers discovered that the property was not hooked up to sewer and filed a claim in small claims court against Susan and her real estate agent. Agent Joe assured her that all would be fine in court. She just trusted him that since she didn't know if it was septic or sewer she would be ok.

*What do you think the judge decided in court?*

*What if the seller does not know if there is septic or sewer?*

*Can the judge suspend or revoke the license of a real estate agent?*

Susan believed that her agent would help her out in court.... Seriously!!?? He arrived with his broker and said that he was unaware that the property was not on the sewer. Susan testified that she got the house in a divorce and had no idea about the septic vs. sewer. But, a judgment was found in favor of the new buyers against Susan in the amount of \$4,000. In this case the real estate agent and broker were not found liable. A judge in small claims court does not have the power to suspend or revoke a real estate license. If a licensee is found guilty of a crime in a court, that verdict could affect their license.

Redmond WA Small Claims Court (2002)

***Learn from this case that there are times that the seller does not know the pertinent information about the property. In this situation, the seller assumed that the agent knew about the property and used his help to fill out the property information form. The agent claimed no responsibility. But, had the seller claimed that the agent helped with the form, the entire ruling could have gone the other way. Sellers do have a tendency to rely on the expertise of the agent.***

# Inspection finds past leaks, but Buyer sues for Basement Leaking

Burgess bought a home from the Millers. The Millers indicated no seepage on the disclosure statement they gave Burgess because, they asserted, there was none during the years they resided there. They did acknowledge a pre-existing stain on the wall. After receiving the disclosure statement from the Millers, Burgess had her own inspection performed. Burgess hired an inspector that reported evidence of water seepage in the basement and recommended that water be directed away from the exterior of the house at that spot. Before closing, Burgess asked the Millers to explain the disclosure statement they had given her. They provided an addendum in which they asserted there had been no water seepage during their tenure and in which they speculated that the past seepage may have been due to an improperly maintained downspout. Relying on this Burgess closed. A few months later, the basement leaked during a major rainstorm and Burgess sued.

*Since the inspector found the evidence of leaks and the Millers disclosed what they knew about the leaks, are the Millers liable for the damage due to leaking after closing?*

The court directed the verdict in favor of the Millers and Burgess appealed. The court held that the Millers did not make a misrepresentation on the disclosure statement because (1) they disclosed the condition of the basement to the best of their knowledge and belief in the statement and its addendum and (2) Burgess failed to prove that the Millers knowingly made a false representation.

Burgess v. Miller, 621 N.W. 2d 828 Court of Appeals of Nebraska

*This situation is very typical. Buyers move into a house and discover a water leak. There was no mention of an agent in this summary. In this situation as in all others, the seller is better off disclosing a water leak. When to disclose? Immediately. Any known defects should be disclosed. Even if the water leak is fixed, it could have done damage so disclosure of the leak and the fix is usually in the seller's best interest. Learn from this lawsuit the importance of disclosure.*

## Disclose sex offender in neighborhood?

The Glazers purchased a home owned by the LoPrestes. The home was located across the street from the home of a convicted sex offender. The Glazers alleged that the LoPrestes and their real estate agents fraudulently represented that the house was a good place to raise children and fraudulently concealed the fact that a sex offender lived in the neighborhood.

Did the defendants, LoPrestes and their agents, have a duty to disclose to the Glazers that a sex offender lived across the street from the home they were buying?

*Have you ever sold a house in the neighborhood of a sex offender? How do you know? What does the Property Information Disclosure statement say regarding this?*

The Supreme Court of New York held that New York law imposes no duty on either the seller or agent to disclose any information concerning the premises unless there is a confidential or fiduciary relationship between the parties or some conduct on the part of the seller which constitutes active concealment. There was no allegation that the parties were in any confidential or fiduciary relationship. There was no evidence that the Glazers made any effort to discover the character of the neighborhood or that the agents or sellers thwarted their efforts to do so. The alleged misrepresentations were no more than expressions of opinion. Finally, the court noted that the information was not peculiarly within the knowledge of the seller or unlikely to be discovered by a prudent purchaser. Summary judgment for the seller and agents was upheld.

Glazer v LoPreste 717 N.Y.S 2d 256 (2000) Supreme Court of New York, Appellate Division.

In Washington State the Law of Agency in the definition of Material Fact says that certain facts are not considered material. “The fact or suspicion that the property or any neighboring property is or was the site of a murder, suicide or other death, rape or other sex crime, assault or other violent crime, robbery or burglary, illegal drug activity, gang-related activity, political or religious activity, or other act, occurrence or use not adversely affecting the physical condition of or title to the property is not a material fact.”

This clause has not been tested in the Washington Courts. If the seller and/or agent has knowledge of a fact that would affect the buyers decision to purchase the property, that information should be disclosed.

***Brokers should encourage all buyers to do some research before purchasing a property. Registered sex offenders live in neighborhoods throughout the state. If a broker has information that would affect the buyer’s decision to purchase and the broker wants to hide that information, it must be referred to the Broker or corporate attorney. That information might be a future lawsuit. People can sue about anything.***

## **Buyer doesn’t get Inspection but Finds Defects after Closing**

Clark hired McDonald, a real estate agent, to help her purchase a home. McDonald informed her of a house listed with Caldwell with another real estate office under the same franchise. Clark walked through the house that same day. The next day Clark met McDonald and Caldwell at the house and they walked through it again. At that time, Caldwell, the listing agent, told Clark that the home was a good buy, was in good condition, the owners were really good at handy work and good at fixing things and that she was friends with the owners who were really nice, honest people. Clark was unable to adequately inspect the house, as it was full of boxes and furniture. Right after this visit, Clark made an offer on the home, which was accepted. Closing was scheduled for a couple of weeks later. Clark received a copy of the owners’ disclosure statement, which revealed no defects or problems. Before closing, Clark again walked through the house. This time she noticed some water damage and that the owners were painting several rooms. Clark did not hire a home inspector, although there was an inspection clause in the contract. After closing

and moving into the house, Clark noticed foul odors and rotten and bowed wood in the attic. She then hired a home inspector who discovered several defects with the home, most of which had been there for well over a year. The new painting was done to cover old water spots and deck boards had been, turned over to conceal rot. It would cost several thousand dollars to repair the property. Clark sued the owners, the agents and the real estate company.

*Was the real estate listing agent, Caldwell, liable for the representations made about the owners? What did Caldwell say about the owners? What did Caldwell say about the property? Did Caldwell relay false information?*

Clark sued the owners, agents and the real estate company and won damages against all defendants. *But, on appeal, the court held that neither the real estate company nor Caldwell made any written misrepresentations to Clark.* Clark contended in the appeal, that Caldwell knew about the defects and concealed them and that she fraudulently made false representations about the condition of the home to induce Clark to buy it. The agents and the company asserted that the elements of fraud were not present in the case since no false representation were made and that Clark was not justified in relying on Caldwell's representation made during the visit to the home. The disclose statement was made by the seller and merely relaying the form without actual knowledge of its falsity was insufficient to form the basis of a fraud claim against the agent. The oral statements made by Caldwell, the listing agent, were puffing or mere statements of opinion. Clark affirmed the contract and sued for damages, rather than rescission, thus she is bound by the terms of the contract. Finally there was no evidence that Caldwell had any knowledge of the concealed defects just because she was a friend of the seller and was their listing agent.

ReMax North Atlanta v. Clark, 537 S.E.2d 138 (2000) Court of Appeals of Georgia

***Learn from this lawsuit, that buyers need to hire inspectors prior to closing. Agents need to be careful about statements made about their clients and the property. In this situation, the buyer relied upon the statements about the seller's honesty.***

## **Previous flooding was not Completely Fixed and not Disclosed**

The Stocks knew that their property had drainage problems. Several times, a storm drain on a neighbor's property had become blocked and caused water to flow onto their property. In response, the county had cleared the storm drain each time. When the Stocks filled out the Seller Property Information Disclosure Form RCW 64.06, they originally answered "yes" to the question whether the property had standing water or drainage problems. They claimed that Edwards, their listing agent, told them to answer "no," advising that they were not required to disclose a past problem which had been corrected by the action of the county in unblocking the drain. The sellers answered "no" but asked Edwards to disclose the prior instances of flooding to the prospective buyers anyway and tell them that "if the drain in the neighbor's yard plugged up to call the county."

The listing agent, Edwards, had personal knowledge of the flooding in the neighborhood and had disclosed it to buyers of a neighboring property.

Svendsen purchased the property and the first winter following closing, the drain became clogged and water caused substantial damage to the property. Svendsen sued the seller and the listing agent, Edwards on claims of fraudulent concealment, negligent misrepresentation and violation of the Washington Consumer Protection Act (CPA).

*Were the sellers, the Stocks, the real estate agent, Edwards, and the real estate company liable for the damages since the problem had been corrected in the past?*

*Was the agent liable since she knew of the flooding even though the Stocks did not disclose the flooding on the Disclosure Form?*

The trial court granted judgment for the plaintiff. The Defendants appealed. The Court of Appeals affirmed the judgment for fraudulent concealment but reversed an additional award to the plaintiff for damages and attorney fees determining that it did not fall under the Consumer Protection Act. The CPA contains an exemption from liability under the Act for “the practices covered by” the Seller Information Disclosure Form Statute RCW 64.06. The question was whether the seller’s disclosure exempts a real estate agent from liability for fraudulently concealing matters that are to be disclosed in the seller’s disclosure statement. Svendsen appealed to the Supreme Court of Washington.

The court of Appeals determined that the seller disclosure statement precludes an action against a real estate agent where the claim for fraudulent concealment arises directly from the agent’s conduct in completing the disclosure statement. However, where the claim for fraudulent concealment (here the agent’s independent knowledge of the drainage problem) was separate and apart from the disclosure statement, an independent cause of action could be maintained against the seller’s agent under the CPA. The Court of Appeals was reversed and the judgment of the trial court for additional damages under the CPA was reinstated. Edwards was convicted of fraud.

Svendsen v. Stock 23 P.3d 455 (2001) Supreme Court of Washington

A hearing at the Department of License revoked her license for a period of 1 year with 6 months stayed.

***Learn from this lawsuit that if a defect has been fixed, disclose the defect and the fix. Also, do not ever consult the seller on how to fill out the property information form.***

***If you, as an agent, have personal knowledge of a previous defect that would affect the buyer’s decision to buy or how much they would pay, then discuss that with your seller, your broker or the corporate attorney.***

## How much disclosure is enough?

Paula Keefhaver purchased a 25 yr old house from the Weeses. Before signing the contract, Paula spent a half hour in the home with her real estate agent. Then she returned to the agent’s office to review the disclosure statement provided by the Weeses. The disclosure statement asked “the roof leaking during ownership?” to which the Weeses responded, “Yes, with an explanation that the roof had been replaced in 1991. To the

question “Repairs during your ownership?” The Weeses said “No.” The disclosure statement next asked, “Any drainage or flood problems on the property or adjacent properties?” The Weeses marked the question, “yes,” and explained that they planned to bring in fill dirt to redirect water away from the foundation. The disclosure finally asked, “Any water leakage or dampness in the house crawl space or basement?” Again the Weeses said, “yes” and again referred to the fill dirt being brought in to redirect the drainage.

Paula Keefhaver then signed an inspection waiver. She proceeded with the purchase of the \$71,900 house. About a month after moving in, a heavy rainstorm revealed there were both leaks in the roof and a water problem in the basement. Also about the same time, Paula said she leaned a chair against the back deck and “the whole thing caved in.” Paula sued claiming the Weeses did not make an accurate disclosure of the property’s condition and that she had relied on that disclosure statement in making her decision to purchase.

*Since the Weeses did disclose the water problems, are they liable for the problems after closing?*

The Weeses argued that the disclosures were full and ample as far as they knew, and pointed out that Keefhaver was free to order a home inspection of the property and waived that right. The Weeses also argued that while they did their best to fill out the disclosure form they could not be held liable for issues they contended they were unaware of.

The first court found for the Weeses. But, the appeals court, however did not accept the Weeses’ arguments. It reversed the lower court decision and sent the case back to trial. In reversing the lower court, the appeals judges said that Paula Keefhaver was led to believe she could rely on disclosure statement. She testified she would not have purchased the home had she known of problems with the roof, basement and deck. It was the disclosure statement, however, that convinced her the house did not need a formal inspection. The appeals court sent the case back to the lower court for a new trial.

Keefhaver vs. Weese, Missouri Court of Appeals, 2001 WE59446, Real Estate Intelligence Report Nov 2001

***Learn from this lawsuit to encourage all buyers to get a formal home inspection. The buyer relied on the information on the disclosure statement and chose not to get an inspection. It is important for buyers to get inspections... often in a hot market agents encourage buyers to waive that right. But, it can come back to bite the agent because when there is a problem, the buyers or their attorney will try to pin it on the agent! Remember how many items come up in inspections that the sellers were unaware of!***

## **Please Note:**

Natalie Danielson is not an attorney. These are examples of lawsuits that we can all learn from. Learn from these lawsuits:

1. Anyone can file a lawsuit even if it appears that there is no case.
2. That water is the worst enemy.... Attorneys can be your next biggest problem!
3. That the cases can go either way depending on the attorneys and judge.
4. That court costs money.

That it is important to disclose, disclose, disclose!





## Watch What You Say Quiz

1. T / F About 2/3 of all claims against brokers are misrepresentation.
2. T / F State laws regarding disclosure include License Law and Agency Law
3. T / F Only federal laws that define what a seller must disclose.
4. T / F Condition of the property does not affect the value.
5. T / F Common law arises out of legal decisions from lawsuits.
6. T / F The best way to avoid claims is to say nothing.
7. T / F Ignorance will never save an agent in court.
8. T / F It is not always the questions that you are unsure of the answers, but the questions that you fail to ask.
9. T / F Disclose anything that affects a buyers decision to buy.
10. T / F It doesn't matter what an agent says as long as the company has errors and omissions insurance.
11. T / F Assuming a buyer who feels damaged won't come after an agent is like assuming a shark won't go after a vegetarian.
12. T / F There are statutes of limitations which limit how long the party has to file a lawsuit.
13. T / F A consumer can file a complaint at the Dept of Licensing.
14. T / F Only a seller can be liable for actual damages.
15. T / F Punitive damages are to "punish" the agent or broker.
16. T / I An agent can be sued for fraud, negligent misrepresentation and negligence.
17. T / F Negligent misrepresentation includes the lack of care in accurately conveying information to the purchaser.
18. T / F An agents E & O insurance will ALWAYS cover fraud.
19. T / F A false representation of a material fact is negligence.
20. T / F If an agent had no way to know about the defect, it could be difficult to show in court that he should be liable.

### Property Information Disclosure Form

21. T / F A For sale by owner has to provide the form to buyers.
22. T / F The seller is obligated to provide the form to buyers.
23. T / I Only listed sellers have to provide the form.
24. T / F Some sellers are exempt from filling out the form.

- 25. T / F If an agent fills out the form, the agent could be liable for the information on the form.
- 26. T / F The seller has to change the form if a defect is discovered after the form has been completed.
- 27. T / F The buyer can waive the form.
- 28. T / F The seller must fill out the environmental section of the form if there is something that must be disclosed.
- 29. T / F The seller must provide the buyer with the Environmental section of the form if there is information to disclose even if the buyer wants to waive their right to get the form.
- 30. T / F A vacant land disclosure is ONLY required if there is a house on the property. (read this carefully and check your answer in the booklet!)
- 31. T / F If the property is zoned for residential but has a small commercial office, then the seller must provide a vacant land disclosure.
- 32. T / F The attorneys call the property disclosure form the "lawsuit."
- 33. T / F If there is a negative stigma that the seller does not want to disclose, go to the broker for advice.
- 34. T / F There is information that an agent cannot disclose due to Federal Laws.
- 35. T / F The Seller can request information about the marital status and race of the buyer.
- 36. T / F The agent can tell the seller the sexual orientation of the buyers to the seller legally in Washington State.
- 37. T / F The Fair Housing Laws protect only minorities.
- 38. T / F It is important to disclose material defects.
- 39. T / F Did you read and understand the facts in the Alejandre case?
- 40. T / F Do you know what Section 9 is on the purchase and sale agreement?
- 41. T / F You can claim you do not know about a problem if didn't look at the disclosure form or inspection that is in the transaction file.
- 42. T / F A real estate agent is the best source of financial information for a client who is considering a short sale.
- 43. T / F A real estate agent can show a buyer homes in a 1 mile radius of the clients church if the client specifies that neighborhood.
- 44. T / F Did you sign and Date the Evaluation Form?
- 45. T / F Did you read the material and take the quiz?

**an answer sheet follows on the next page if you choose to use one!**

**PROFESSIONAL Direction**

www.clockhours.com

Email: [clockhours@gmail.com](mailto:clockhours@gmail.com)

## Watch What You Say

You must attach the Evaluation to this Answer Sheet to receive clockhours.

1		21	
2		22	
3		23	
4		24	
5		25	
6		26	
7		27	
8		28	
9		29	
10		30	
11		31	
12		32	
13		33	
14		34	
15		35	
16		36	
17		37	
18		38	
19		39	
20		40	
		41	
		42	
		43	
		44	
		45	

I attest that I have read the materials and have answered the questions.

Print Name \_\_\_\_\_ Company \_\_\_\_\_ Signature \_\_\_\_\_

PROFESSIONAL Direction,  
 www.clockhours.com Email: [clockhours@gmail.com](mailto:clockhours@gmail.com)



## Mandatory Evaluation

Did you read the material in the booklet on this date?

YES / NO

Did you complete the quiz and attach answer sheet?

YES / NO

Did you pay tuition using the payment link on the front of the website?

YES / NO

Why did you choose to take this course? Topic? Time? Cost? Ease? Other?

A "clock hour" is 50 minutes. This 3 hour class should take about 2 hrs 30 min. How long did it take to complete the course? \_\_\_\_\_

Will the material you learned improve your performance?	
Were the course materials easy to follow?	
Were the course materials relevant to your profession?	
Were your objectives met by attending the class?	

What are 3 things that you learned from the course?

1. \_\_\_\_\_ 2. \_\_\_\_\_ 3. \_\_\_\_\_

## Watch What you Say

Print Name CLEARLY	Signature	Company
Address	City Zip Code	Phone
	Email	
License Renewal Date		Date class taken

*Thanks for taking this class! I really appreciate the agents that take clockhours from my school! I am always working on my classes and writing new ones!*

**Professional Direction,  
email: [clockhours@gmail.com](mailto:clockhours@gmail.com)  
[www.clockhours.com](http://www.clockhours.com)**